

AD-A093 650

TEXAS UNIV AT AUSTIN DEPT OF CHEMISTRY

F/G 7/4

PHOTOCATALYTIC WATER DECOMPOSITION AND WATER-GAS SHIFT REACTION--ETC(U)

DEC 80 S SATO, J M WHITE

N00014-75-C-0922

NL

UNCLASSIFIED

TOP
AL 000000

END

DATE

TIMED

2-8h

DTIC

LEVEL II

Ca

AD A0936130

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-75-C-0922

Task No. NR 056-578

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 19✓

Photocatalytic Water Decomposition and
Water-Gas Shift Reactions over
NaOH-Coated, Platinized TiO_2

by

Shinri Sato and J. M. White

Prepared for Publication

in

Journal of Catalysis

Department of Chemistry✓

University of Texas at Austin

Austin, Texas 78712

December 9, 1980

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for
and purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved for public release
and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

DTIC
ELECTE
JAN 8 1981
E

DDC FILE COPY

81 1 08 055

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE | | READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1. REPORT NUMBER | 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. AD-A093650 | 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER |
| 4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Photocatalytic Water Decomposition and Water-Gas Shift Reactions over NaOH- Coated, Platinized TiO ₂ | | 5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report 19 Jan. 1, '80-Dec. 31, '80 |
| 7. AUTHOR(s) Shinri/Sato and J. M. White | | 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER |
| 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS J. M. White, Department of Chemistry University of Texas Austin, Texas 78712 | | 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-75-C-09225 |
| 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Department of the Navy Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217 | | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS Project NR 056-578 |
| 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) 1191... 80 | | 12. REPORT DATE December 9, 1980 |
| | | 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 24 |
| | | 15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) |
| | | 15a. DECLASSIFICATION, DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE |
| 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for Public Release: Distribution Unlimited | | |
| 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report) | | |
| 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Preprint, accepted, Journal of Catalysis | | |
| 19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) | | |
| 20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The photocatalytic decomposition of gaseous water takes place over platinized TiO ₂ coated with NaOH (more than 7 wt.%). The quantum efficiency of H ₂ and O ₂ production reaches about 7% (20 μmole H ₂ /hr) at the beginning of the reaction but declines with accumulation of the products due to the thermal back reaction over Pt. The effect of the back reaction can be reduced by increasing the NaOH loading. | | |

DD FORM 1473
1 JAN 73

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE
S/N 0102-014-6601

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

1. Introduction

The photocatalytic decomposition of water is one of the attractive reactions for the direct conversion of light energy into chemical energy and has been achieved in photoelectrochemical (PEC) cells.¹⁾ Recently some heterogeneous catalytic systems have been found effective for the photolysis of water. Bulatov and Khidkei²⁾ have reported the photodecomposition of liquid water using platinumized TiO_2 (Pt/TiO_2). Other work, with one exception³⁾, finds that adsorbed water is not photodecomposed over illuminated pure TiO_2 .^{4,5)} Our previous experiments⁵⁾, while showing that TiO_2 has no photocatalytic activity for the decomposition of gas and liquid phase water, find that Pt/TiO_2 is surely effective for the liquid water photolysis. Pt/TiO_2 also photocatalyzes the reactions of gas phase water with CO ,⁶⁾ hydrocarbons,⁷⁾ active carbon^{7,8)} and lignite⁹⁾ to produce H_2 and CO_2 . In the liquid water photolysis by Pt/TiO_2 , however, the production of H_2 and O_2 declines rapidly as gas phase products accumulate and finally stops.⁵⁾ When Pt/TiO_2 is illuminated in the presence of gas phase water, no detectable amounts of products are produced because the thermal back reaction ($\text{H}_2 + 1/2 \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}$) occurs rapidly on Pt.

The photodecomposition of gas phase water has been recently reported by Kawai and Sakata¹⁰⁾ and Domen et al.,¹¹⁾ who employed $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ and $\text{NiO}/\text{SrTiO}_3$, respectively. The rate of H_2 formation was 0.6 μ mole/hr by a 500 W Hg lamp in the former and 0.2 μ mole/hr by a 450 W Hg lamp in the latter. Wagner and Gratzel¹²⁾ have also observed gaseous water photodecomposition over platinumized and metal-free SrTiO_3 crystals, both of which were coated with NaOH. The H_2 formation rate over the NaOH coated Pt/SrTiO_3 was 1.8 μ mole/hr by a 500 W Hg lamp. They also studied the liquid water photolysis over Pt/SrTiO_3 immersed in alkaline solution and found that the reaction rate increases with pH. The maximum H_2 formation rate obtained was 7.5 μ mole/hr.

-1-

Photocatalytic Water Decomposition and Water-Gas Shift Reactions over NaOH-Coated, Platinumized TiO_2 *

Shinri Sato** and J. N. White
Department of Chemistry
University of Texas at Austin
Austin, Texas 78712

Abstract

The photocatalytic decomposition of gaseous water takes place over platinumized TiO_2 coated with NaOH (more than 7 wt. %). The quantum efficiency of H_2 and O_2 production reaches about 7% (20 μ mole H_2 /hr) at the beginning of the reaction but declines with accumulation of the products due to the thermal back reaction over Pt. The effect of the back reaction can be reduced by increasing the NaOH loading. The photocatalytic activity of platinumized TiO_2 for the water-gas shift reaction increases with increasing NaOH coating. The rates of these photocatalytic reactions are independent of temperature in the range 18–50°C. In NaOH solution, a pH effect on the rate of water photolysis over platinumized TiO_2 is observed.

* Supported in part by the Office of Naval Research.

** Present address: Research Institute for Catalysis, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060, JAPAN

| | |
|---------------|---|
| Accession For | |
| NTIS GRA&I | X |
| DTIC TAB | |
| Unannounced | |
| Justification | |
| By | |
| Date | |
| Avail | |
| Dist | |
| Special | |

A

The results of Monner and Comolli¹² indicate that the NaOH confine suppresses the back reaction so that the gas phase water photolysis occurs on the Pt/SrTiO₃ even in the presence of Pt and that a pH effect is important in the liquid water photolysis. In preliminary experiments we found that a NaOH coating on Pt/TiO₂ allows detectable amounts of H₂ and O₂ to form when gas phase water is used. Moreover, as with Pt/SrTiO₃, liquid water photolysis over Pt/TiO₂ becomes faster in NaOH solution. In the present experiments we studied the gas phase water photodecomposition and the photoassisted water-gas shift reactions over NaOH coated Pt/TiO₂ focusing on the effect of NaOH loading and the reaction kinetics. The effect of NaOH concentration on the rate of the liquid water photodecomposition was measured qualitatively. To examine another electrolyte coating, NaCl coated Pt/TiO₂ was also tested for the reactions. The photooxidation of CO was carried out on the TiO₂ samples used to prepare Pt/TiO₂ in order to check their photocatalytic activities for a standard photoreaction.

2. Experimental

TiO₂ (MKB anatase, particle size 125-250 μm by sieves) was reduced (doped) at 700°C for 6 hours in flowing H₂ to enhance photocatalytic activity. Platinized TiO₂ (3 wt. % Pt) was prepared by the photodecomposition of hexachloroplatinic acid.¹³ The BET surface areas of undoped TiO₂, doped TiO₂ and Pt/TiO₂ were 11, 9 and 10 m²/g, respectively. The catalyst (0.25g) was spread uniformly on the flat bottom (14 cm²) of a quartz reaction cell. The cell was then connected to an evacuable, circulation system (180 ml volume). Catalysts involving Pt were treated with H₂ at 200°C for about 15 hours and outgassed at the same temperature for 2 hours. NaOH coated Pt/TiO₂ was prepared in the reaction cell, after a series of experiments a calculated amount of NaOH solution (0.1 or 1.0 M) was injected onto Pt/TiO₂ or NaOH/Pt/TiO₂ and the sample was then dried

in flowing He at 500°C. NaOH coated TiO₂ and NaCl coated Pt/TiO₂ were prepared outside the reaction cell by standard impregnation methods.

In experiments involving the photodecomposition of gas phase water, water vapor was introduced into the reaction system from a reservoir of liquid water, which had been outgassed several times at dry ice temperature. For the water-gas shift reaction CO was introduced prior to the introduction of water vapor and for the photodecomposition of liquid water the bottom of the reaction cell was cooled to 0°C so that the catalyst became covered with liquid water (0.2 - 0.3 ml).

After setting the reaction cell temperature with a water bath, reaction was started by illuminating the catalyst with a 200 W high pressure Hg lamp that was filtered through a quartz cell filled with NiSO₄ solution to remove heat. The reaction products were sampled at adequate intervals and, after passage through a cold trap at about -110°C to remove water, were analyzed by a mass spectrometer (CEC 21-614). The analysis system can detect a partial pressure as low as 5×10^{-4} torr in the reaction system.

In passing, we note the importance of working with a metal-free reaction vessel when using NaOH-coated catalysts. When a cell, previously used for Pt/TiO₂ experiments, was washed in running water, dried and then used with NaOH-coated TiO₂, activity for the photoassisted decomposition of water was observed. In the absence of NaOH, no activity was noted. The experiment, repeated after thorough ultrasonic cleaning of the cell with alumina powder suspended in the solvent, showed no activity. This suggests that in the earlier experiment, a trace of Pt remained in the cell.

3. Results

3.1 Gas phase water decomposition (GWD).

Photocatalytic activity for GWD was tested for undoped and doped TiO_2 with and without NaOH coating. Undoped TiO_2 and NaOH/undoped TiO_2 (3.2 wt. % NaOH) produced neither H_2 nor O_2 during 100 min illumination. Doped TiO_2 and NaOH/doped TiO_2 (1.6 wt. % NaOH) produced a small amount of H_2 but no O_2 was detected even after 15 hour illumination. This H_2 formation is probably due to the reaction of water with strongly reduced forms of TiO_2 as concluded previously. 5.6)

NaOH coated Pt/TiO_2 catalysts produce H_2 and O_2 in the GWD reaction when NaOH loading exceeds about 3 wt. % and their formation rates and maximum pressures depend on NaOH loading. The stationary-state H_2 and O_2 formation over 3 wt. % NaOH/ Pt/TiO_2 was very small (less than 1×10^{-2} torr); and, after turning off the light, the pressures of H_2 and O_2 dropped promptly to zero, indicating a fast back reaction on the catalyst. The initial rates of H_2 and O_2 formation were too fast to determine them accurately. When NaOH loading was increased to 7 wt. %, the maximum amounts of H_2 and O_2 increased significantly as shown in Fig. 1(a). In repeated runs with the same catalyst, the photocatalytic activity of NaOH/ Pt/TiO_2 for GWD increased slightly by some unspecified mechanism. A stationary activity was usually attained after about 3 hours total illumination and no activity loss was observed in subsequent experiments. All the data presented here were, therefore, obtained after the stationary activity was attained.

The rates of H_2 and O_2 formation were initially high but rapidly dropped to zero after a certain time of illumination. This decrease in the rates is not due to a loss of the photocatalytic activity but due to the back reaction since both H_2 and O_2 decreased rapidly in the dark and again increased after re-

illumination as seen in Fig. 1. The H_2/O_2 ratio usually exceeds slightly the stoichiometric value of 2. This deviation from the stoichiometric ratio probably arises from the photoadsorption of O_2 on the catalyst since the ratio dropped to about 2 in the dark period (see Fig. 1(a)) and it was always less than 2 during the early stages of the reaction carried out after a brief evacuation of the reaction system. The maximum pressures of H_2 and O_2 increased with the NaOH loading but the initial rate of the reaction decreased (see Fig. 1(b)). The dependence of the initial rate of H_2 formation, $\dot{V}_0^{\text{H}_2}$, on the NaOH loading is shown in Fig. 6 (to be discussed later).

Temperature, pressure and wavelength dependences of the rate of GWD were examined using 10 wt. % NaOH/ Pt/TiO_2 . Figure 2 shows that $\dot{V}_0^{\text{H}_2}$ is almost independent of reaction temperature in the range 18–50°C. On the other hand, the maximum pressures of H_2 and O_2 , achieved in these experiments, decrease with increasing temperature, probably because the back reaction becomes fast. For example, at 25°C, $p_{\text{H}_2}^{\text{max}} = 0.55$ torr while at 50°C it dropped to 0.26 torr. When the reaction was carried out at about 5 torr of H_2O pressure at room temperature, the initial H_2 formation rate was about half of the rate at 24 torr of H_2O pressure, presumably due to decrease in the amount of adsorbed H_2O . The wavelength dependence was qualitatively measured using three cut-off filters. A UV cut-off filter (415 nm cut-off) completely eliminates the reaction. A plexiglass filter (380 nm cut-off) and a Pyrex glass filter (275 nm cut-off) suppress the reaction rate to less than 1% and about 70%, respectively. A similar wavelength dependence has been observed in the water-gas shift reaction over illuminated Pt/TiO_2 . 6)

The photocatalytic activity of NaCl coated Pt/TiO_2 for GWD was tested using 10 wt. % NaCl/ Pt/TiO_2 . Although the water-gas shift and liquid water decomposition reactions take place on this catalyst under illumination, the GWD reaction did not occur at all. We assume that NaCl on Pt/TiO_2 would crystallize

3.2. Water-gas shift (WGS) reaction.

The Pt/TiO₂ used in the present experiments showed less photocatalytic activity for the WGS reaction than that used in previous experiments⁶; the reaction rate was 1.1 μ mole/hr for the former and 2.4 μ mole/hr for the latter. This difference in the photocatalytic activity may be due to a lower doping level of the "sieved" TiO₂ used in the present experiments. A relative doping level of TiO₂ can be estimated from the amount of H₂ formed in the reaction of H₂O with doped TiO₂ under illumination. Although the doping condition is the same, "unsieved" TiO₂ produces more H₂ than "sieved" TiO₂ in this reaction, probably because the former is more easily reduced than the latter.

When Pt/TiO₂ was coated with NaOH, the photocatalytic activity for WGS reaction increased significantly. A summary of these activities is given in Fig. 6 and for 4% NaOH the rate increased by a factor of 2.5. A typical time course of the WGS reaction over NaOH/Pt/TiO₂ is shown in Fig. 3. At the beginning of the reaction, CO₂ formation is very slow because of the reaction of CO₂ with NaOH. As the reaction proceeds, CO₂ formation becomes faster but ¹²CO₂ exceeds ¹³CO₂ even though ¹³CO is used as a reactant. This ¹²CO₂ formation probably arises from the exchange reaction of ¹³CO₂ with Na₂CO₃ originally present in NaOH (about 1%). Taking the isotopic purity of ¹³CO (90%) into account, the amount of H₂ finally formed agrees well with the initial amount of CO. When the CO pressure falls down to about 0.05 torr, the consumption of CO as well as the formation of H₂ becomes slower presumably because the diffusion of CO through the water layer on the catalyst becomes important. At the same time, a small amount of O₂ is formed. Similar phenomena have been observed in the WGS reaction over Pt/TiO₂⁶. The thermal WGS reaction did not take place at all over NaOH/Pt/TiO₂ catalysts at room temperature.

The kinetics of the WGS reaction were examined using 2.4 wt. % NaOH/Pt/TiO₂. The reaction is nearly zero (slightly positive) order in CO pressure

between 0.3 and 0.75 torr. When the H₂O pressure is reduced from 24 torr to about 5 torr by cooling a part (not the catalyst) of the reaction system to 0°C, the rate falls by a factor of 4. When the H₂O pressure is increased again to 24 torr, the original rate is recovered. The reaction rate is almost independent of catalyst temperature between 18 and 50°C, but drops about a factor of 4 between 18 and 0°C.

The photocatalytic activity of NaOH/Pt/TiO₂ for WGS increases with increasing NaOH loading as shown in Fig. 6. When the NaOH loading was increased to 7 wt. %, a considerable amount of O₂ was formed (see Fig. 4). The O₂ pressure reached 0.1 torr and decreased after the CO pressure fell to about 0.05 torr. No appreciable CO₂ was formed during this reaction. The H₂ formation was very fast at the beginning of reaction but declined with time. This decline of the rate and the decrease in H₂ pressure after 45 min. are apparently caused by the reaction of H₂ with O₂. The initial H₂ production rate in this reaction, 24 μ mole/hr, is the highest value obtained in the present experiments.

The WGS reaction over 10 wt. % NaCl/Pt/TiO₂ was very slow (0.24 μ mole/hr), indicating the inhibitory effect of NaCl.

3.3. Liquid water decomposition (LWD)

The photodecomposition of liquid water over Pt/TiO₂ was slow ($\dot{V}_O^H_2 = 0.9$ μ mole/hr) as compared to the previous results ($\dot{V}_O^H_2 = 6$ μ mole/hr).⁵ The photocatalytic activity for LWD is much improved by coating Pt/TiO₂ with NaOH as shown in Fig. 6. It should be noted that since the NaOH on Pt/TiO₂ dissolves into water the reaction is carried out in NaOH solution. Assuming the amount of water introduced is 0.25 ml, 1 wt. % NaOH will make 0.25M NaOH solution. Since the amount of water introduced onto the catalyst was not exactly controlled in the present experiments, the observed dependence of the reaction rate on NaOH concentration is qualitative.

The rate of the LWD reaction is quite reproducible when the reaction is repeated after evacuating the products (water is frozen at dry ice temperature during the evacuation). It increases with increasing NaOH concentration up to about 2.5M. In addition to the increase in the initial rate, the maximum pressures of H₂ and O₂ also increase with NaOH concentration while the reaction of H₂ with O₂ in the dark becomes slower. Figure 5 shows the evolution of H₂ and O₂ when 10 wt. % NaOH/Pt/TiO₂ is immersed in liquid water (-0.2 ml) and illuminated. Both the H₂ and O₂ formation rates decline with time but to a lesser extent than for lower NaOH concentrations. The pressure drop in the dark period is also slower even though the pressures of H₂ and O₂ are fairly high.

A further increase in the NaOH concentration from about 2.5M to about 3.2M reduced the rate of the LWD reaction, presumably because the concentrated NaOH solution disturbs the escape of the products from the catalyst surface.

In NaCl solution (~2M), the initial rate of the LWD reaction was 1.4 μ mole/hr; the reaction is obviously faster than in pure water but much slower than in NaOH solution.

3.4. Photooxidation of CO.

To characterize the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂, a common photocatalytic reaction, photooxidation of CO, was used. Doped and undoped TiO₂ and NaOH coated, undoped TiO₂ were tested. The reaction was carried out at room temperature using a mixture of 54% O₂ and 46% CO at about 0.3 torr total pressure. The initial rate of the reaction over undoped TiO₂ was 0.7 μ mole/hr and there was no appreciable difference in the photocatalytic activity between undoped and doped TiO₂. NaOH/TiO₂ showed very slightly less activity (0.6 μ mole/hr) than TiO₂. The CO oxidation over Pt/TiO₂ was so fast in the dark that the photocatalytic activity of Pt/TiO₂ for this reaction could not be measured.

4. Discussion.

The present experiments again confirmed the inactivity of TiO_2 for water photolysis even when it is coated with Au. In photolyze water, some material such as Pt⁵⁾ or Ru¹⁰⁾ must be added. The reason for this has been discussed previously³⁾ in terms of the energy band diagram of illuminated TiO_2 .¹⁴⁾ Although the flat band potential (electron Fermi level) of TiO_2 has typically been placed at a position slightly more positive than the H^+/H_2 redox potential,¹⁴⁾ the most recent study by Tomkiewicz¹⁵⁾ shows that the former is about 100 mV more negative than the latter. This implies that water photolysis in PEC cells with a TiO_2 photocathode is energetically possible under short circuit conditions, even though actual Pt - TiO_2 PEC cells require some external potential to decompose water.¹⁴⁾ The requirement of an external potential in Pt - TiO_2 PEC cells may arise from some potential loss in the circuit. It seems to us that the flat band potential of anatase is more negative than the H^+/H_2 potential as observed by Tomkiewicz,¹⁵⁾ since water is photolyzed by Pt/ TiO_2 catalysts, which can be modeled as a set of small, short-circuited Pt - TiO_2 cells. However, TiO_2 alone would be inactive for the photolysis of water even if 100 mV are available as an overvoltage for H_2 evolution because TiO_2 is so inactive for the H_2 evolution reaction. More than 100 mV are needed for this evolution to proceed at a measurable rate. As compared to Pt - TiO_2 PEC cells, the potential loss in Pt/ TiO_2 catalyst would be small since Pt is in direct contact with TiO_2 . It should be noted that a metal-semiconductor contact may give rise to Schottky barrier at the semiconductor surface and such a potential barrier would drive electrons away from the metal. A Schottky barrier is, however, not always formed at metal-semiconductor interface. If the density of surface states on the semiconductor is high, the potential barrier formed upon contact with a metal would be smaller than Schottky barrier. We assume that our Pt/ TiO_2 samples have many surface states because they were prepared in aqueous solution and, therefore,

the Pt- TiO_2 contact is ohmic.


Photoelectrochemical devices similar to these Pt/ TiO_2 catalysts have been developed by Nozik¹⁶⁾ as a "Schottky type photoelectrochemical diode", in which a semiconductor crystal such as GaP or CdS is in contact with a Pt plate. The same laboratory has also developed a "p-n type photoelectrochemical diode", which consists of a p-type and n-type semiconductor contact. Using an n- TiO_2 /p-GaP device they successfully photodecomposed liquid water.

Since adsorbed water is decomposed in both cases, the heterogeneous photocatalytic decomposition of gas phase and liquid phase water is basically the same. The catalyst for the gas phase water photolysis, however, must be relatively inactive for the back reaction of H_2 with O_2 . The successful photolysis of gas phase water using $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ ¹⁰⁾ or $\text{NiO}/\text{SrTiO}_3$ ¹¹⁾ is apparently due to the inactivity of these catalysts for the back reaction. However, their photocatalytic activities are very low. This is attributable to the low activities of RuO_2 and NiO for H_2 evolution. Although Kawai and Sakata¹⁰⁾ ascribed the effect of RuO_2 to enhancement of O_2 evolution, the catalytic promotion of O_2 evolution is less important than of H_2 evolution, because the hole Fermi level of TiO_2 is sufficiently more positive than the $\text{O}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ redox potential¹⁴⁾ so that O_2 evolves readily at a TiO_2 electrode. However, since RuO_2 is even more active for the O_2 evolution reaction than TiO_2 , in the actual $\text{RuO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ system O_2 may be evolved from portions of the RuO_2 surface which are not illuminated.


The photocatalytic activity of Pt/ TiO_2 for the water decomposition⁵⁾ and the water-gas shift⁶⁾ reactions is much improved by reducing TiO_2 with H_2 . As noted by Wrighton et al.,¹⁷⁾ the reduction of a TiO_2 electrode is also important in Pt - TiO_2 PEC cells. The H_2 doping will produce oxygen vacancies in the TiO_2 lattice so that the resistivity of TiO_2 is reduced. Since photogenerated electrons must drift through bulk TiO_2 regions to Pt during the reactions, any increased conductivity of TiO_2 would enhance the photo efficiency.

The mechanism of the water photolysis over Pt/ TiO_2 can be described in

analogy with PEC cells. In an acidic solution, a suitable mechanism is



In neutral and alkaline solution, instead of steps (1) and (4), use



where h^+ denotes a hole.

In the photoassisted water-gas shift reaction⁶⁾ CO reacts with $\text{O}(\text{a})$ formed in step (2) to give CO_2 .

When water electrolysis (or photolysis) is carried out in neutral water, i.e. with no electrolyte, the diffusion of OH^- from cathode to anode would limit the reaction rate. In our previous studies,⁵⁻⁹⁾ the rates of most photo-reactions over Pt/TiO_2 , in which pure water was used, were temperature dependent (activation energy of 5 ~ 8 kcal/mole), while the rates of the GWD and the WGS reactions over illuminated $\text{NaOH}/\text{Pt}/\text{TiO}_2$ are independent of temperature between 18 and 50°C. This difference in the temperature dependence leads us to suppose that the reactions involving pure water are rate-limited by the diffu-

sion of OH^- from Pt to TiO_2 and the activation energy observed comes mainly from this diffusion step.

The water photolysis reaction over Pt/TiO_2 catalysts competes with the thermal back reaction over Pt . Therefore the observed rate, V_{H_2} , of the H_2 formation is given by the equation,

$$V_{\text{H}_2} = 2V_{\text{O}_2} = V_{\text{p}} - V_{\text{t}} \quad (8)$$

where V_{p} is the rate of the photocatalytic production of H_2 and V_{t} the rate of the thermal back reaction. The rate V_{p} would be proportional to the intensity of light with energy greater than band gap energy and independent of the partial pressures of the products under the present experimental conditions. In alkaline solution V_{p} would be independent of temperature but would depend on the pH of the solution. In the GWD reaction over $\text{NaOH}/\text{Pt}/\text{TiO}_2$ the NaOH coating somewhat reduces V_{p} while in the LWD reaction the water (solution) layer over the catalyst also limits the escape of the products to the gas phase. On the other hand, V_{t} is proportional to the Pt surface area and the product pressures, and is temperature dependent. The NaOH coating and liquid water layers considerably suppress V_{t} .

In the GWD reaction over pure Pt/TiO_2 , neither H_2 nor O_2 are observed because the back reaction occurs even before the products desorb from the catalyst. The LWD reaction takes place because V_{t} is much suppressed by the water layer. However, V_{t} increases with product pressures so V_{H_2} decays with time and a photostationary state is eventually reached. The present experiments show that the GWD reaction becomes detectable even in the presence of Pt when Pt/TiO_2 is coated with more than 7 wt. % of NaOH . This apparently arises because V_{p} is enhanced by the addition of alkaline electrolyte while V_{t} is suppressed by the NaOH coating. Increasing NaOH above 7 wt. % gives rise to decreases in both V_{p} and V_{t} , but the former is less pronounced than the latter as seen from Fig. 1.

As a result the maximum pressures of H_2 and O_2 increase. One may suppose here that the liquid electrolyte layer, formed by coating the catalyst with a deliquescent material and exposing it to gas phase water, is essential to gaseous water photolysis. Although this deliquescent film is important, we find that gaseous water photolysis does occur over Pt/TiO_2 without such a coating. When the photodecomposition of NO over Pt/TiO_2 is carried out in the presence of gaseous water, H_2 , O_2 , N_2O and a small amount of N_2 are formed.¹⁸⁾ Since no H_2 is produced in a control experiment involving no water, the produce H_2 is attributed to water decomposition. The formation of O_2 during the water-gas shift reaction also gives another evidence for gaseous water photolysis.⁶⁾

In the WGS reaction v_L is very small since O_2 formed is removed by its reaction with CO to form CO_2 so that v_{H_2} remains constant until the CO pressure falls to about 0.05 torr (see Fig. 3). The reaction of O_2 with CO , however, is suppressed to some extent when the $NaOH$ coating exceeds 7 wt. %.

To estimate the quantum efficiency of our present system, we assume, as an upper limit, the flux of photons with energy greater than band gap irradiation is $10^{17}/\text{sec}$ using a 200 W Hg lamp.¹⁸⁾ Taking into account that two photons are required to produce one H_2 molecule, the highest $v_{H_2}^0$, 20 $\mu\text{ mole/hr}$, in the GWS reaction corresponds to a quantum efficiency of 7%. This value is much higher than observed in the photooxidation of CO over TiO_2 which is a known and typical photocatalytic reaction. We believe, on the basis of earlier work,^{7,8)} that the quantum yield of H_2 production can be improved by the addition of active carbon or other materials which react with O_2 to form CO_2 .

Our results may be compared to those obtained by Wagner et al.¹³⁾ on single crystal (111) samples of platinized and metal-free $SrTiO_3$. Like our Pt/TiO_2 results, they found, on $Pt/SrTiO_3$, that a $NaOH$ film gave rise to the

activity for gas phase water photolysis. As they properly point out the deliquescent character of $NaOH$ makes the surfaces wet in these experiments. Our results involving an aqueous solution of $NaOH$ differ from theirs in that we find a local maximum in the rate versus $NaOH$ concentration (around 2.5 M) whereas they find the rate increases throughout the range 0 - 20 M. Most significantly, they find catalytic activity on $NaOH$ -coated metal-free $SrTiO_3$ while we find no activity for the analogous system based on powdered TiO_2 . Yonekura et al.²⁰⁾ have also reported the activity of $SrTiO_3$ powder when its aqueous suspension is illuminated by 1 kW Xe lamp. These results show that powdered $SrTiO_3$, unlike TiO_2 , is active in the photoassisted decomposition of H_2O . This property of $SrTiO_3$ may come from its higher flat band potential (i.e., 200 mV more negative than the H^+/H_2 potential¹⁴⁾). Although the mechanism involved is not well understood, a part of $SrTiO_3$ surface could become the cathode while another part the photoanode. The photoactivity of powdered $SrTiO_3$, however, seems to depend on its preparation method.²¹⁾ In our preliminary experiments, powdered $SrTiO_3$ (Alfa) coated with $NaOH$ showed no activity while platinized forms (with and without $NaOH$ coating) were active.

Acknowledgment

We thank Mr. S. - M. Fang for his help in analyzing the products of some of the experiments.

References

- (1) A. Fujishima and K. Honda, J. Chem. Soc. Japan, 74, 355 (1971); Nature, 238, 37 (1972).
- (2) A. V. Julatov and M. L. Khidekel, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim., 1902 (1976).
- (3) G. N. Schrauzer and T. D. Guth, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 3508 (1977).
- (4) H. Van Damme and K. K. Hall, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101, 4373 (1979).
- (5) S. Sato and J. M. White, Chem. Phys. Letters, 72, 83 (1980).
- (6) S. Sato and J. M. White, J. Am. Chem. Soc., ~~(44-444444)~~ 102, 7206(1980).
- (7) S. Sato and J. M. White, Chem. Phys. Letters, 70, 131 (1980).
- (8) S. Sato and J. M. White, J. Phys. Chem., in press.
- (9) S. Sato and J. M. White, Ind. Eng. Chem. Prod. Res. Dev., ~~(44-444444)~~ 19, 542(1980).
- (10) T. Kawai and T. Sakata, Chem. Phys. Letters, 72, 87 (1980).
- (11) K. Domen, S. Naito, M. Soma, T. Onishi and K. Tamura, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 343 (1980).
- (12) F. T. Wagner, and G. A. Somorjai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 102, 5494(1980).
- (13) B. Kraeutler and A. J. Bard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100, 4317 (1978).
- (14) H. P. Maruska and A. K. Ghosh, Solar Energy, 20, 443 (1978).
- (15) M. Tomkiewicz, J. Electrochem. Soc., 126, 1505 (1979).
- (16) A. J. Nozik, Appl. Phys. Letters, 30, 567 (1977).
- (17) M. S. Wrighton, D. S. Ginley, P. T. Wolczanski, A. B. Ellis, D. L. Morse and A. Lins, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 72, 1518 (1975).
- (18) S. Sato and J. M. White, unpublished result.
- (19) J. M. White, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Illinois, (1966).
- (20) H. Yonevama, M. Koizumi and H. Tamura, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 52, 3449(1979).
- (21) F. T. Wagner, Private Communication.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1

Time courses of the GWD reaction over illuminated NaOH/Pt/TiO₂ Catalysts:
(a) 7 wt. % NaOH; (b) 14 wt. % NaOH. H₂O pressure is ~ 24 torr and catalyst temperature is 25°C.

Fig. 2

Temperature dependence of the initial rate of H₂ formation, V₀^{H₂}, in the GWD reaction over illuminated NaOH (10 wt. %)/Pt/TiO₂.

Fig. 3

Time course of the WCS reaction over illuminated NaOH (4 wt. %)/Pt/TiO₂. H₂O pressure is ~ 24 torr and catalyst temperature is 25°C.

Fig. 4

Time course of the WCS reaction over illuminated NaOH (7 wt. %)/Pt/TiO₂. H₂O pressure is ~ 24 torr and the catalyst temperature is 25°C.


Fig. 5

Time course of the LWD reaction over illuminated Pt/TiO₂ immersed in NaOH solution (~2.5M).

Fig. 6

Initial H₂ formation rates, V₀^{H₂}, in the GWD (○), WCS (□) and LWD (●) reactions over illuminated Pt/TiO₂ or NaOH/Pt/TiO₂ as a function of NaOH loading. (See text for the definition of the abscissa for the LWD reaction).


Fig. 1



Sato and White


Sato and White, 1961

Fig. 2



Sato and White


Sato and White, 1961



-21-

Sato and white
Sato and white 4/12/4

Fig. 4



-22-

Sato and white
Sato and white 4/12/4





Fig. 5

-23-

Sato and white
Sato and white, Fig. 5

Fig. 6



-24-

Sato and white
Sato and white, Fig. 6

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 056

| No. Copies | No. Copies |
|---|---------------|
| Dr. W. G. Lagally Department of Metallurgical and Mining Engineering University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706 | 1 |
| Dr. Robert Goetz Department of Chemistry James Franck Institute 5420 Ellis Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60637 | 1 |
| Dr. R. G. Wallis Department of Physics University of California, Irvine Irvine, California 92664 | 1 |
| Dr. D. Ranker Chemistry Department George Washington University Washington, D.C. 20052 | 1 |
| Dr. P. Hanzon Chemistry Department University of California, Santa Barbara Santa Barbara, California 93106 | 1 |
| Dr. P. Hendra Chemistry Department Southampton University England SO9 2NH | 1 |
| Professor P. Skell Chemistry Department Pennsylvania State University University Park, Pennsylvania 16802 | 1 |
| Dr. J. C. Heminger Chemistry Department University of California, Irvine Irvine, California 92717 | 1 |
| Dr. Martin Fleischmann Department of Chemistry Southampton University Southampton SO9 5NH Hampshire, England | 1 |
| Dr. J. Osteryoung Chemistry Department SUNY, Buffalo Buffalo, New York 14214 | 1 |
| Dr. G. Rubloff I.R.M. Thomas J. Watson Research Center P. O. Box 218 Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 | 1 |
| Dr. J. A. Gardner Department of Physics Oregon State University Corvallis, Oregon 97331 | 1 |
| Dr. G. D. Stein Mechanical Engineering Department Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201 | 1 |
| Dr. K. G. Spears Chemistry Department Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201 | 1 |
| Dr. R. W. Plummer University of Pennsylvania Department of Physics Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104 | 1 |
| Dr. E. Yeager Department of Chemistry Case Western Reserve University Cleveland, Ohio 44106 | 2 |
| Professor George H. Morrison Cornell University Department of Chemistry Ithaca, New York 14853 | 1 |
| Professor N. Winograd Pennsylvania State University Chemistry Department University Park, Pennsylvania 16802 | 1 |
| Professor Thomas F. George The University of Rochester Chemistry Department Rochester, New York 14627 | 1 |

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 056

| No. | Copies |
|--|--------|
| Dr. D. A. Vroom | |
| 18T | |
| P.O. Box 80817 | |
| San Diego, California 92138 | 1 |
| Dr. G. A. Somorjai | |
| Department of Chemistry | |
| University of California | |
| Berkeley, California 94720 | 1 |
| Dr. L. N. Jarvis | |
| Surface Chemistry Division | |
| 4555 Overlook Avenue, S.W. | |
| Washington, D.C. 20375 | 1 |
| Dr. J. B. Hudson | |
| Materials Division | |
| Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute | |
| Troy, New York 12181 | 1 |
| Dr. John T. Yates | |
| Surface Chemistry Section | |
| National Bureau of Standards | |
| Department of Commerce | |
| Washington, D.C. 20234 | 1 |
| Dr. Theodore E. Madey | |
| Surface Chemistry Section | |
| Department of Commerce | |
| National Bureau of Standards | |
| Washington, D.C. 20234 | 1 |
| J. M. White | |
| Department of Chemistry | |
| University of Texas | |
| Austin, Texas 78712 | 1 |
| Dr. Keith H. Johnson | |
| Department of Metallurgy and Materials | |
| Science | |
| Massachusetts Institute of Technology | |
| Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 | 1 |
| Dr. J. E. Demuth | |
| IBM Corporation | |
| Thomas J. Watson Research Center | |
| P.O. Box 218 | |
| Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 | 1 |
| Dr. C. P. Flynn | |
| Department of Physics | |
| University of Illinois | |
| Urbana, Illinois 61801 | 1 |
| Dr. H. Kohn | |
| Department of Physics | |
| University of California | |
| (San Diego) | |
| La Jolla, California 92037 | 1 |
| Dr. R. L. Park | |
| Director, Center of | |
| Materials Research | |
| University of Maryland | |
| College Park, Maryland 20742 | 1 |
| Dr. W. T. Peria | |
| Electrical Engineering | |
| Department | |
| University of Minnesota | |
| Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455 | 1 |
| Dr. Narkis Tzoar | |
| City University of New York | |
| Convent Avenue at 138th Street | |
| New York, New York 10031 | 1 |
| Dr. Chia-wei Kuo | |
| Department of Physics | |
| Northwestern University | |
| Evanston, Illinois 60201 | 1 |
| Dr. D. C. Mattis | |
| Polytechnic Institute of | |
| New York | |
| 333 Jay Street | |
| Brooklyn, New York 11201 | 1 |
| Dr. Robert M. Hexter | |
| Department of Chemistry | |
| University of Minnesota | |
| Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455 | 1 |
| Dr. R. P. Van Dyne | |
| Chemistry Department | |
| Northwestern University | |
| Evanston, Illinois 60201 | 1 |

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

| No. Copies | | No. Copies | | No. Copies | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 472 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217 | | U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 1211 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709 | | Dr. Rudolph J. Marcus Office of Naval Research Scientific Liaison Group American Embassy APO San Francisco 96303 | |
| ONR Branch Office Attn: Dr. George Sandoz 535 S. Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605 | | Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney San Diego, California 92152 | | Mr. James Kelley DTNSDC Code 2803 Annapolis, Maryland 21402 | |
| ONR Area Office Attn: Scientific Dept. 715 Broadway New York, New York 10003 | | Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. A. B. Aster, Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555 | | Dr. L. H. Peebles ONR Eastern/Central Regional Office Bldg 114, Section D 666 Summer Street, Boston, MA 02210 | |
| ONR Western Regional Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106 | | Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko Port Hueneme, California 91401 | | Dr. G. Sandoz ONR-Branch Office, 536 S. Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 | |
| ONR Eastern/Central Regional Office Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles Building 114, Section D 666 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210 | | Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940 | | Dr. R. J. Marcus ONR Western Regional Office 1030 East Green St., Pasadena, CA 91106 | |
| Director, Naval Research Laboratory Attn: Code 6100 Washington, D.C. 20390 | | Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380 | | Dr. G. A. Weese ONR Chemistry Program, 800 North Quincy Street, Arlington, VA 22217 | |
| The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (R&S) Department of the Navy Room 5736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350 | | Office of Naval Research Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217 | | | |
| Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser) Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360 | | Naval Ship Research and Development Center Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian, Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401 | | | |
| Defense Technical Information Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314 | | Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 91232 | | | |
| Dr. Fred Saalfeld Chemistry Division, Code 6100 Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375 | | Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112 | | | |

